arXiv:math/0410486v1 [math.QA] 22 Oct 2004

On a class of skew classical r-matrices with large carrier

Vladimir D. Lyakhovsky
Theoretical Department, St. Petersburg State University,
198904, St. Petersburg, Russia

Abstract

The classical r-matrices with carriers $\mathfrak{g}_c$ containing the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ of simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ are studied. Using the graphical presentation of the dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}(r)$ we show that such solutions r_{ech} of the CYBE always exist. To obtain the explicit form of r_{ech} we find the dual coordinates in which the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}_c$ can be reduced. This gives us the detailed structure of the Jordanian r-matrices r_J that are the candidates for enlarging the initial full chain r_{fch}. We search the desired solution r_{ech} in the factorized form $r_{ech} \approx r_{fch} + r_J$. This leads to the unique transformation: the canonical chain is to be substituted with a special kind of peripheric r-matrices: $r_{fch} \longrightarrow r_{rfch}$. To illustrate the method the case of $\mathfrak{g} = sl(11)$ is considered in full details.

1 Introduction

Constant triangular solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation [1] play important role in algebra and applications (for details see [2, 3, 4] and references therein). They describe Poisson structures $\pi(r)$ compatible with the initial Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, i. e. the mechanical systems that can exist on a space whose noncommutativity is fixed by $\mathfrak{g}$. Classical solutions r define the nondegenerate bilinear form ω_r on the space of a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_c \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ called the carrier of r. Due to the properties of ω_r the Poisson algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}(r)$ (induced by r) is equivalent to $\mathfrak{g}_c$. To describe all the structures $\pi(r)$ for a given g it is sufficient to find all the carrier subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_c$ and the corresponding forms ω_r. Such subalgebras are called Lie Frobenius [5].

For simple Lie algebras with the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{b}_+ = \mathfrak{b}_- + \mathfrak{n}_+$ there are several classes of constant skew r-matrices that can be quantized in the explicit form, i. e. for which the corresponding solutions $\mathcal{R}$ of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation are known.

They are constructed in the form $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{F}_{21}\mathcal{F}^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the twisting element, i.e. the solution of the twist equations [1].

Among the twists for simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ the full chains $\mathcal{F}_{ch}$ of extended Jordanian twists are distinguished by the fact that their carriers $\mathfrak{g}_{ch}$ might be large enough to contain $\mathfrak{n}_+$ (or $\mathfrak{n}_-$). Chains $\mathcal{F}_{fch}$ (and the corresponding solutions of CYBE r_{fch}) with $\mathfrak{g}_{fch} \supset \mathfrak{n}_\pm$ are called full [7].

The properties of the full chains differ considerably for two main classes of root systems Λ^I and Λ^{II}. Consider the highest root $\theta_0 \in \Lambda \equiv \Lambda_0$ and the root subspace $V_1 = \{v \in V_0 \mid (v, \theta_0) = 0\}$. In the set $\Lambda_1 = V_1 \cap \Lambda_0$ find the highest root θ_1 and the subspace $V_2 = \{v \in V_1 \mid (v, \theta_1) = 0\}$. This gives the sequence

$$V_0 \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \ldots \supset V_f$$

that terminates when there are no roots in V_f . (The last space V_f is orthogonal to the roots $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{f-1}$.) For Λ^I-systems the last space is nontrivial, $V_f \neq 0$, while for Λ^{II} we have $V_f = 0$. When $\Lambda = \Lambda^{II}$ the corresponding sequence of roots

$$\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{f-1}$$

forms the basis of V_0 and f is equal to the rank of the algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. When $\Lambda = \Lambda^I$ we have $f < \mathrm{rank}\,(\mathfrak{g})$.

Series B, C and $D_{t=2s}$, are of the second type, A_l series for $l > 1$ and $D_{t=2s+1}$ are of the first. For $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^{II}\right)$ algebras the full chains of extended twists have the carrier $\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{b}_+(\mathfrak{g})$. For $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^I\right)$ algebras the carrier for the full chain contains $\mathfrak{n}_+(\mathfrak{g})$ but do not contain the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Most interesting is the situation for the series A_l where the dimension of V_f increases with the rank.

We shall demonstrate that the full chains of r-matrices for algebras $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^I\right)$ can be enlarged by a series of Jordanian summands. The resulting enlarged chain has the carrier $\mathfrak{g}_{ech}$ that contains $\mathfrak{b}_+(\mathfrak{g})$ and nontrivially intersects with $\mathfrak{n}_-$: $\mathfrak{g}_{ech} \cap \mathfrak{n}_-(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{v}_-$. The space $\mathfrak{v}_-$ in algebras $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^I\right)$ is an analogue of the space $\mathfrak{b}_\perp$ in algebras $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^{II}\right)$ whose generators correspond to the roots in V_{f-1} (the space orthogonal to the set $\{\theta_i \mid i = 1, ..., f-1\}$). Consider an algebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^{II}\right)$ and the classical r-matrix for the full chain of extended Jordanian terms $r_{(link)}$: $r_{fch} = \sum_{i=1}^{f} r_{(link)i}$. Truncate the last term and consider the dual algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}\left(r_{(f-1\succ 1)}\right)$. For the subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_\perp$ one can always find such a basis that all the coproducts $\delta_{r_{(f-1\succ 1)}}(\mathfrak{b}_\perp)$ are primitive. We shall show that for the algebras of type I in the full chain duals $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}(r_{fch})$ one can always find such a basis that all the coproducts $\delta_{fch}(\mathfrak{v}_-)$ are quasiprimitive. It will be demonstrated that the quasiprimitivity in the case I (just as the primitivity in the case II) permits to incorporate the additional Jordanian terms in the corresponding chains $\left(r_{fch}\right.$ and $\left.r_{(f-1\succ 1)}\right)$. In the second case this completes the full chain $r_{fch} = r_{(f-1\succ 1)} + r_J$ with the carrier $\mathfrak{g}_{fch}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$. In the case $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^I\right)$ this leads to the enlarged chain $r_{ech} = r_{fch} + r_J$ with the similar property of the carrier: $\mathfrak{g}_{ech} \supset \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{g})$. The enlarged chains exist for any algebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^I\right)$. We shall construct them explicitly for $\mathfrak{g} = sl(2m+1)$.

2 Properties of the generators v_- in the dual algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}(r_{fch})$

From now on we shall consider the simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of the series A_{n-1}. The maximal sequence of highest roots $\{\theta_s\}_{s=0,\ldots,f-1}$ has the length $f = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n/2 \\ (n-1)/2 \end{array} \right.$ for even and odd n's correspondingly. In particular we shall concentrate our attention on the case $n = 2m+1$. The other cases can be treated analogously. The canonical full chain of extended Jordanian terms [6] has the r-matrix

$$\begin{aligned} r_{fch} \quad = \quad & E_{\frac{n-1}{2},\frac{n+1}{2}} \wedge E_{\frac{n+1}{2},\frac{n+3}{2}} + H_{\frac{n-1}{2},\frac{n+3}{2}} \wedge E_{\frac{n-1}{2},\frac{n+3}{2}} + \\ & + \cdots + \\ & + \sum_{k_{s+1}=s+2}^{n-s-1} E_{s+1,k_{s+1}} \wedge E_{k_{s+1},n-s} + H_{s+1,n-s} \wedge E_{s+1,n-s} + \\ & + \sum_{k_s=s+1}^{n-s} E_{s,k_s} \wedge E_{k_s,n-s+1} \wedge + H_{s,n-s+1} \wedge E_{s,n-s+1} + \\ & + \sum_{k_{s-1}=s}^{n-s+1} E_{s-1,k_{s-1}} \wedge E_{k_{s-1},n-s+2} + H_{s-1,n-s+2} \wedge E_{s-1,n-s+2} + \\ & + \cdots + \\ & + \sum_{k_1=2}^{n-1} E_{1,k_1} \wedge E_{k_1,n} + H_{1,n} \wedge E_{1,n}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This solution of the CYBE is a generic point of the (m)-dimensional variety of classical r-matrices

$$r_{fch} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \xi_k \left(H_{k,n-k+1} \wedge E_{k,n-k+1} + \sum_{s=k+1}^{n-k} E_{k,s} \wedge E_{s,n-k+1} \right), \tag{2}$$

where the independent parameters $\xi_k \in \mathsf{C}^1$ (one parameter for each link of the chain; for details see ([6])).

Consider the Lie bialgebra $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{\#}\right)$ corresponding to the r-matrix (2). It was proved in [8] that for any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the chain r_{ch} the dual algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}$ can be decomposed into the semidirect sum

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\#} = \mathfrak{g}_c \vdash \mathfrak{a}, \tag{3}$$

with the Abelian ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ generated by the elements a_j^* dual to $a_j \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{g}_c$. Let $\{h_\alpha, e_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}), \alpha \in S(\mathfrak{g})\}$ be the Cartan-Weil basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the root system $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ and the set of simple roots $S(\mathfrak{g})$. The elements $\{h_\alpha^*, e_\lambda^*\}$ are canonically dual to $\{h_\alpha, e_\lambda\}$ and form a basis in $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}$. Algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}$ has the natural grading induced by the root system $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ with the vector grading group $\Gamma(r)$ generated by certain subsets in $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ (and the zero).

The selfdual subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_c \approx (\mathfrak{g}_c)^{\#}$ is graded according to the following rules [8]:

- each element $e^*_{\theta_s}$ has zero grade,
- each element $e^*_{h_{\theta_s}}$ has the grading vector $(-\theta_s)$,
- for each extension term $e_\mu \wedge e_\nu \in r_{ch}$ the element e^*_μ has the grading $(-\nu)$ while e^*_ν has the grading $(-\mu)$.

Grading of the Abelian ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is inherited from the root system $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$:

- each element e^*_ξ has the grading vector ξ,
- each element $h^*_\perp$ of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}^\#_\perp$ has zero grade. (The corresponding element $h_\perp \in \mathfrak{h}$ is orthogonal to all the Cartan elements in the Jordanian summands of the chain. When the chain is canonical the linear form induced by $h_\perp$ is orthogonal to all the roots $\{\theta_i \mid i = 0, ..., m-1\}$.)

These rules are valid for any type of chains including the peripheric ones [9]. Thus in the Jordanian summands $h_{\theta_s} \wedge e_{\theta_s}$ the canonical Cartan factors h_{θ_s} can be changed for $h_{\theta_s} + \sum \gamma_s h^\perp_s$, $\gamma_s \in \mathsf{C}$. In the latter case the form induced on the grading space by the Cartan element $e^*_{\theta_s} \in (\mathfrak{g}_c)^\#$ also depends on γ's. In particular the operator $ad_{e^*_\eta}$ with $e_\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_c$ acts nontrivially on $h^{\perp *}_s$ iff $\eta\left(h^\perp_s\right) \neq 0$.

In the case of r_{fch} the negative root vectors $\Lambda^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (and the zero) constitute the (blue) set of grading vectors for the generators of $(\mathfrak{g}_c)^\#$. The red set $\Lambda^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cup 0$ is attributed to the generators of $\mathfrak{a}$. Thus the compositions in $\mathfrak{g}^\#$ are described by the gradation diagram (we denote it by the same symbol $\Gamma(r)$) containing two superposed (coloured) sets: the algebra depicted by the blue set is acting on the representation space drawn by the set of red vectors. In our case these two sets are: $(\Lambda^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cup 0)^b \cup (\Lambda^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cup 0)^r = \Gamma^b(r) \cup \Gamma^r(r) = \Gamma(r)$.

Our task is to construct new solutions for CYBE by adding to r_{fch} the Jordanian type summands

$$h_\lambda \wedge e_\lambda, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Consequently we are to fix all the quasiprimitive generators in $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\#\right)$. For this purpose we shall use the gradation diagram $\Gamma(r)$. The element $e_\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}$ is quasiprimitive in the Lie bialgebra $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\#\right)$ iff the grading vector of its dual e^*_λ cannot be presented as a sum of two vectors one of which is nonzero blue. For a quasiprimitive e_λ the only adjoint operators whose image in the first derivative subalgebra $\left(\mathfrak{g}^\#\right)^{(1)}$ is e^*_λ are of the type $ad_{e^*_\theta}$ (with $e^*_\theta \in \mathfrak{g}_c$). In other words we are to consider the end points in $\Gamma(r)$ that cannot be reached from other red points by nonzero vectors belonging to $\Gamma(r)^b$.

Now we shall demonstrate that in $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\#\right)$ we can have three sets of quasiprimitive generators. The first set is $\left\{e^*_{\theta_s} \mid s = 1, \ldots, m\right\}$. (For the algebras of series A_n we use the natural coordinate presentation of the roots in which $\theta_s = e_s - e_{n-s+1}$.) These are the Cartan elements of the subalgebra $(\mathfrak{g}_c)^\#$, they have (blue) zero grading vectors and are primitive. The second set contains the elements $h^*_\perp \in (\mathfrak{h}_\perp)^\#$ dual to the Cartan's in $\mathfrak{h}_\perp$. In the canonical chain the

Cartan's $h_\perp$ are orthogonal to all $\{\theta_k \mid k = 1, \ldots, m\}$, i.e. $\theta_k(h_\perp) = 0$. These elements belong to $\mathfrak{a}$, have (red) zero grading vectors and thus are primitive. The most important for us is the third set – $\left\{e^{\#}_{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S(\mathfrak{g})\right\}$. It contains the duals to negative simple root generators $e_{-\alpha}$. These elements belong to $\mathfrak{a}$ and have red grading vectors $\{-\alpha_k = e_{k+1} - e_k \mid k = 1, \ldots, 2m\}$. For the case of canonical chain (see the r-matrix (2)) this set is empty. For any red vector $(-\alpha_k)^{(r)}$ we have the corresponding blue one, $(-\alpha_k)^{(b)}$, that shifts the red zero point to the end point of $(-\alpha_k)^{(r)}$. Red zeros represent the elements of $(\mathfrak{h}_\perp)^{\#}$ and among them we can find those whose duals are not orthogonal to α_k. The adjoint operators corresponding to $(-\alpha_k)^{(b)}$ act nontrivially on such elements $h^{\#}_\perp$:

$$\left[h^{\#}_\perp, e^{\#}_{(-\alpha_k)^{(b)}}\right]^{\#} \sim (-\alpha_k(h_\perp))\, e^{\#}_{(-\alpha_k)^{(r)}}. \tag{4}$$

Such compositions are the only ones that violate the quasiprimitivity of $e^{\#}_{(-\alpha_k)^{(r)}}$. We remind that the subalgebra $(\mathfrak{h}_\perp)^{\#}$ depends on the choice of Cartan generators in the Jordanian terms of the chain. For the canonical chain they are $\{h_{\theta_s}\}$. In the general case the Jordanian terms look like $\left\{\left(h_{\theta_s} + \eta_s h^{\perp}_s\right) \wedge e_{\theta_s}\right\}$. This means that the sum $\sum \eta_s h^{\perp}_s \wedge e_{\theta_s}$ can be added to the initial r_{fch} :

$$r_{rch} = r_{fch} + r_r = r_{fch} + \sum \eta_s h^{\perp}_s \wedge e_{\theta_s}.$$

Notice that here r_r is itself a solution of CYBE. The transformation $r_{fch} \Rightarrow r_{fch} + r_r$ signifies the rotation of the hyperplane $h^{\#}_\perp$ in the space $h^{\#}$. We call r_r the *rotation term*.

Choosing the appropriate coefficients η_s we can trivialize the composition (4) and make the element $e^{\#}_{(-\alpha_k)^{(r)}}$ quasiprimitive. This means that the space $h^{\#}_\perp$ becomes orthogonal to α_k. In terms of r_{rch} this means that the subspace V^C_{ch} (generated by the duals $\{h^*_s\}$ of Cartan factors belonging to the Jordanian terms $\{h_{\,s} \wedge e_{\theta_s} \mid s = 1, \ldots, m\}$) contains α_k. As far as $\dim V^C_{ch} = m$ such requirements can be fulfilled at most for m simple roots $\alpha_k \in S_{ch}(\mathfrak{g})$. Below we shall show that for $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ these m additional conditions can be always fulfilled and we can get exactly m quasiprimitive generators $\left\{e^{\#}_{-\alpha_k} \mid \alpha_k \in S_{ch}(\mathfrak{g})\right\}$.

3 Construction of the enlarged chain

To construct the additional jordanian terms $\{h_\lambda \wedge e_\lambda, \mid \lambda \in \Lambda^-(\mathfrak{g})\}$ we need the subset of mutually orthogonal negative simple roots. The reason is that any nontrivial combination of the Jordanian terms $\sum h^{\perp}_k \wedge e_{-\alpha_k}$ with noncommuting generators $e_{-\alpha_k}$ violates CYBE and the nonzero Schouten bracket $\left[\left[\sum h^{\perp}_k \wedge e_{-\alpha_k}\right]\right]$ cannot be compensated by the terms like $\left[\left[r_{rch}, \sum h^{\perp}_k \wedge e_{-\alpha_k}\right]\right] + \left[\left[\sum h^{\perp}_k \wedge e_{-\alpha_k}, r_{rch}\right]\right]$. We shall consider the following set of m mutually orthogonal roots

$$S^{\perp}_{ch}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\alpha_k = e_{2k-1} - e_{2k} \mid k = 1, \ldots, m\}. \tag{5}$$

The adjoint action (4) is trivialized iff

$$\mathcal{L}\left(h_s^* \mid s=1,\ldots,m\right) \supseteq S_{ch}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{g}\right), \tag{6}$$

(Here $\mathcal{L}\left(h_s^*\right)$ is the space generated by $\{h_s^*\}$.)

Lemma 1 *The r-matrix*

$$\begin{aligned} r_r &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i \widetilde{H}_i^{\perp} \wedge E_{i,n-i+1}, \\ \widetilde{H}_i^{\perp} &= \sum_{j=i}^{n-i} (-1)^{j+1} H_{j,j+1} \end{aligned}$$

rotates the chain

$$r_{fch} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \xi_k \left(H_{k,n-k+1} \wedge E_{k,n-k+1} + \sum_{p=k+1}^{n-k} E_{k,p} \wedge E_{p,n-k+1} \right).$$

The sum

$$r_{rch} = r_{fch} + r_r$$

is the solution to CYBE and in the dual algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}\left(r_{rch}\right)$ *the elements* $\left\{ e_{-\alpha_k}^{\#} \mid \alpha_{2k-1} = e_{2k-1} - e_{2k} \mid 1,\ldots,m \right\}$ *are quasiprimitive.*

Proof. Elements $\widetilde{H}_i^{\perp}$ are orthogonal to the highest roots $\{\theta_s\}_{s=1,\ldots,m}$ of the chain (1). In the algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}\left(r_{fch}\right)$ we have the set of primitive commuting generators: $\left\{ \left(E_{i,n-i+1}\right)^*, \left(\widetilde{H}_i^{\perp}\right)^* \mid i,j=1,\ldots,m \right\}$. It follows that both r_r and $r_{fch}+r_r$ are the solutions to the CYBE. The quasiprimitivity is realized for the basic elements of $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}$ correlated with the decomposition (3). For the set $\left\{ e_{-\alpha}^{\#} \mid \alpha \in S\left(\mathfrak{g}\right) \right\}$ this means that in terms of the duals $e_{-\alpha_k}^*$ the generators $e_{-\alpha}^{\#}$ have the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{-\alpha_t}^{\#} &= \left(e_{-\alpha_t}^* + e_{\alpha_{n-t}}^* \right) \qquad t=1,\ldots,q-1,\widehat{q},q+1\ldots,n-1; \\ e_{-\alpha_q}^{\#} &= e_{-\alpha_q}^*. \qquad q = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} m \text{ for odd } m \\ m+1 \text{ for even } m \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the r-matrix

$$\begin{aligned} r_{rch} &= r_{fch} + r_r = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \xi_k \left(\left(H_{k,n-k+1} + H_k^{\perp} \right) \wedge E_{k,n-k+1} + \sum_{p=k+1}^{n-k} E_{k,p} \wedge E_{p,n-k+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and the Cartan elements

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & \left\{ H_{k,n-k+1} + \widetilde{H}_k^{\perp} \mid k = 1, \ldots, m \right\} \\ = & & \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left(H_{1,2} + \ldots + H_{2m-1,2m} \right), \left(H_{3,4} + \ldots + H_{2m-1,2m} \right), \\ \left(H_{3,4} + \ldots + H_{2m-3,2m-2} \right), \ldots, H_{m,m+1} \end{array} \right\}. \end{array}$$

we notice that the linear span $\mathcal{L}\left\{ H_{k,n-k+1} + \widetilde{H}_k^{\perp} \mid k = 1, \ldots, m \right\}$ contains the set $\{H_{2k-1,2k} \quad | \quad k = 1, \ldots, m\}$ of Cartan elements dual to the simple roots $\{\alpha_{2k-1} = e_{2k-1} - e_{2k} \mid 1, \ldots, m\}$. The subspace $(\mathfrak{h}_{\perp})^{\#}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}(r_{rch})$ is defined as orthogonal to the set of Jordanian Cartan generators in r_{rch}. As we have seen this is equivalent to the requirement that $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}^{*}$ is orthogonal to the roots $\{\alpha_{2k-1} \mid 1, \ldots, m\}$. As a result the operators $\mathrm{ad}_{\left(e^{\#}_{(-\alpha_k)^{(b)}} \right)}$ cannot shift the elements of $(\mathfrak{h}_{\perp})^{\#}$ in the direction $(-\alpha_k)^{(r)}$ and the elements $e^{\#}_{(-\alpha_k)^{(r)}}$ remain quasiprimitive. ■

We have proved that there is a possibility to enlarge r_{rch} by the set of m Jordanian terms formed by independent Cartan elements $\left\{ \widetilde{H}_i^{\perp} \mid j = 1, \ldots, m \right\}$ (belonging to the subspace of primitive generators $(\mathfrak{h}_{\perp})^{\#}$ in the dual algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\#}(r_{rch})$)) and the elements

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left(e_{-\alpha_{2k-1}} + e_{\alpha_{n-2k+1}} \right), e_{-\alpha_{2p-1}} \mid k = 1, \ldots, p-1, \widehat{p}, p+1, \ldots, m \\ p = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{m+1}{2} \text{ for odd } m \\ \frac{m+2}{2} \text{ for even } m \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\}, \quad (7)$$

corresponding to the set of quasiprimitive generators $\left\{ e^{\#}_{\left(-\alpha^{(r)}_{2k-1} \right)} \mid k = 1, \ldots, m \right\}$. The explicit form for such enlargement is to be found now.

In the case of $sl(n)$, $n = 2m+1$ the set (7) can be written in terms of matrix units $E_{i,j}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \widehat{E}_k = E_{2k,2k-1} + E_{n-2k+1,n-2k+2}, \mid k = 1, \ldots, p-1, \widehat{p}, p+1, \ldots, m \\ \widehat{E}_p = E_{2p,2p-1}; \end{array} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

To construct m independent Jordanian terms we must find for each $\widehat{E}_k$ the corresponding $H_k^{\perp}$ with the properties:

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \left[H_k^{\perp}, \widehat{E}_l \right] & = & \delta_{kl} \widehat{E}_k, \qquad k, l = 1, \ldots, m; & (9) \\ H_k^{\perp} & \in & \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}. & \end{array}$$

This gives $2m+1$ relations that completely fix the set $\left\{ H_k^{\perp} \right\}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcll} H_k^{\perp} & = & \sum_{v=1}^{n} \frac{4k-2}{n} E_{v,v} - \sum_{u=1}^{2k-1} \left(E_{u,u} + E_{n-u+1,n-u+1} \right), & (10) \\ k & = & 1, \ldots, m. & \end{array}$$

Thus we have found the exact form of the Jordanian terms $\sum h_{\perp_k} \wedge e_{-\alpha_k}$:

$$r_J = \sum_{k=1}^{m} H_k^{\perp} \wedge \widehat{E}_k. \tag{11}$$

Notice that this expression itself is obviously the solution to CYBE, that is the pair $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{\#}\left(r_J\right)\right)$ is a Lie bialgebra as well as $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{\#}\left(r_{rch}\right)\right)$. The question is whether the pair $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{\#}\left(r_{rch} + r_J\right)\right)$ is a Lie bialgebra or in other words whether the sum of the r-matrices is again the solution to CYBE.

One simple example shows that the latter is not true. In the almost trivial and well studied case $m = 1$ $(n = 3)$ it was demonstrated ([8], [10]) that the sum $r_{rch(3)} + r_{J(3)}$ does not satisfy the CYBE. We'll see below that the same is true for any algebra $sl(n)$. It was found out ([8], [10]) that the $r_{rch(3)}$-matrix

$$r_{rch(3)} = 2H_{12} \wedge E_{13} + E_{12} \wedge E_{23}$$

can be enlarged by a Jordanian like summand only if the latter is deformed:

$$r_{dj} = H^{\perp} \wedge \left(E_{21} + 2E_{13}\right), \qquad H^{\perp} = -\frac{1}{3}\left(E_{11} - 2E_{22} + E_{33}\right). \tag{12}$$

Notice that according to the structure (8) of the dual coordinates $\widehat{E}_k$ in the case $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$ we have $\widehat{E}_1 = E_{21}$ and the deformed expression (12) differs from the additional Jordanian term $r_{J(3)} = H_1^{\perp} \wedge \widehat{E}_1$.The deformation in r_{dj} results in and is coordinated with the quasiprimitivity of $e^{\#}_{(-\alpha_1)^{(r)}}$ in $\left(sl(3), sl(3)^{\#}\left(r_{rch}\right)\right)$:

$$\delta_{rch}\left(E_{21}\right) = 2E_{13} \wedge E_{21}.$$

This example shows that we can redefine the Jordanian term in the chain

$$r'_{rch(3)} = 2\left(H_{12} + H^{\perp}\right) \wedge E_{13} + E_{12} \wedge E_{23},$$

and then consider the r-matrix

$$r_J = H^{\perp} \wedge E_{21}$$

as an additional Jordanian for the twice rotated chain $r'_{rch(3)}$,

$$r'_{rch(3)} + r_J = r_{rch(3)} + r_{dj}.$$

To obtain the necessary result here two conditions are to be satisfied: $\widehat{E}$ is to be an element of the inverse root in one of the extension terms ($E_{-\alpha_1}$ in our case) and the Cartan element in the corresponding link of the chain (here $\widehat{H} = 2\left(H_{12} + H^{\perp}\right)$) must obey the relation $\widehat{H} - [E_{\alpha_1}, E_{-\alpha_1}] \sim H^{\perp}$.

We start considering the general case supposing that the similar scheme works for $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ and in particular for $n = 2m + 1$.

First let us remind that in an arbitrary the full chain

$$r_{rch} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(\widehat{H}_k \wedge E_{k,n-k+1} + \sum_{p=k+1}^{n-k} E_{k,p} \wedge E_{p,n-k+1} \right), \tag{13}$$

the Cartan elements are subject to the conditions

$$\left[\widehat{H}_k, E_{l,n-l+1} \right] = \delta_{kl} E_{l,n-l+1}.$$

This is equivalent to the requirement

$$\widehat{H}_k = H_{k,n-k+1} + \sum \gamma_l H_l^{\perp}. \tag{14}$$

Take the additional Jordanian terms as in (11) and consider the sum

$$r_{ech} = r_{rch} + r_J = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left(\widehat{H}_l \wedge E_{l,n-l+1} + \sum_{p=l+1}^{n-l} E_{l,p} \wedge E_{p,n-l+1} + H_l^{\perp} \wedge \widehat{E}_l \right). \tag{15}$$

To find the expressions for the modified Cartan's $\widehat{H}_{l,n-l+1}$ impose the CYBE,

$$[[r_{ech}]] = [[r_{rch}, r_J]] + [[r_J, r_{rch}]] = 0. \tag{16}$$

This leads to the following relations (in the first set we supplement the definition of $\widehat{H}_k$ by putting $\widehat{H}_{m+1} = 0$):

$$\widehat{H}_k - \widehat{H}_{k+1} - 2H_{(2\chi(k)+1),2\chi(k)} \sim H^{\perp}_{\chi(k)}, \qquad \begin{array}{c} k = 1, \ldots, m, \\ \chi(k) = \frac{1}{4}\left(n + 2 + (n-2k)(-1)^k \right); \end{array}$$

$$\sum_{k}^{m} \left[\widehat{H}_k, E_{2l+2,2l+1} \right] = 0, \qquad l = 1, \ldots, m;$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \left[\widehat{H}_s, E_{\psi(s),\psi(s)+1} \right] = E_{\psi(s),\psi(s)+1}, \\ \left[\widehat{H}_{s+1}, E_{\psi(s),\psi(s)+1} \right] = -E_{\psi(s),\psi(s)+1}, \end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{array}{c} s = 1, \ldots, m-1, \\ \psi(s) = \frac{1}{2}\left(n + (n-2s)(-1)^{s+1} \right); \end{array}$$

Among these relations independent are only the first two sets. They have the unique solution. Using the explicit expression (10) for $H_q^{\perp}$ we get the final answer:

$$\widehat{H}_k = (-1)^{k+1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{2k-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{i,i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(E_{j,j} + E_{n-j+1,n-j+1} \right) \\ +\frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} (-1)^{k+1} \left(E_{k,k} - E_{n-k+1,n-k+1} \right) \\ -E_{k,k} - E_{n-k+1,n-k+1} \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right). \tag{17}$$

When the Cartan elements (14) are chosen in the form (17) the r-matrix r_{ech} is the solution of CYBE with the carrier $\mathfrak{g}_{ech}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}_+$ and m elements $\widehat{E}_k$ from the negative sector of Cartan decomposition. These elements correspond to the subset of m mutually orthogonal negative simple roots $\left\{ -\alpha_k \mid \alpha_k \in S^{\perp}_{ch}(\mathfrak{g}) \right\}$ (5). Thus we have proved the following statement:

Theorem 2 *The full chain of extended r-matrices for $sl(2m+1)$ can be uniquely enlarged by the set of m independent Jordanian terms.*

4 Parameterization

It is well known that in fact the canonical r-matrices are the representatives of the parameterized sets of objects. Such parameterization is induced by the automorphisms of the carrier subalgebra of the r-matrix (see [6] for the case of full chain). In our case the carrier $\mathfrak{g}_{ech}$ contain $\mathfrak{b}_+$ and m elements $\widehat{E}_k$ belonging to $\mathfrak{n}_-$. The following automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_{ech}$ leads to the parameterized variety of r-matrices:

- for the chain carrier $\mathfrak{g}_{fch}$:

  For each link with the number i we perform the transformations

$$\begin{aligned} E_{a,b} &\Longrightarrow \xi_i E_{a,b} \\ E_{c,d} &\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{\xi_i} E_{c,d} \end{aligned}$$

where the indices for odd i's are

$$\begin{aligned} a &= i, \ldots, n-i; \quad b = n+1-i, \ldots, n; \\ c &= 1, \ldots, i-1; \quad d = i, \ldots, n-i; \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and for even i's –

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 1, \ldots, i; \quad b = i+1, \ldots, n+1-i; \\ c &= i+1, \ldots, n+1-i; \quad d = n+2-i, \ldots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

(For the first link the zone for $\frac{1}{\xi_1}$ degenerates to zero.)

- for the quasijordanian part the parameterization is induced by the transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{a,b} &\Longrightarrow \zeta_i E_{a,b}; \\ E_{c,d} &\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{\zeta_i} E_{c,d}; \end{aligned}$$

where for $i \leq \frac{m+1}{2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2i, \quad b = 2i-1; \\ a &= 2i, \ldots, n-2i+1; \quad b = n-2i+2, \ldots, n; \\ c &= 1, \ldots, 2i-1; \quad d = 2i, \ldots, n-2i+1. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and for $i > \frac{m+1}{2}$ –

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2i, \quad b = 2i-1; \\ a &= 1, \ldots, n-2i+1; \quad b = n-2i+2, \ldots, 2i-1; \\ c &= n-2i+2, \ldots, 2i-1; \quad d = 2i, \ldots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Applying the above automorphism we get

$$r_{ech}(\xi,\zeta) = r_{rch}(\xi) + r_J(\xi,\zeta) =$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left(\xi_l \widehat{H}_l \wedge E_{l,n-l+1} + \xi_l \sum_{p=l+1}^{n-l} E_{l,p} \wedge E_{p,n-l+1} + \zeta_l H_l^{\perp} \wedge \widehat{E}_l(\xi) \right).$$

Here the generators $\widehat{E}_k(\xi)$ depend on the parameters of the chain:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{E}_k(\xi) &= E_{2k,2k-1} + \frac{\xi_{2k-1}}{\xi_{2k}} E_{n-2k+1,n-2k+2}, \qquad k = 1, \ldots, p-1; \\ \widehat{E}_p(\xi) &= E_{2p,2p-1}; \\ \widehat{E}_k(\xi) &= E_{2k,2k-1} + \frac{\xi_{n-2k+1}}{\xi_{n-2k+2}} E_{n-2k+1,n-2k+2}, \qquad k = p+1, \ldots, m. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Notice that in the variety $r_{ech}(\xi,\zeta)$ the parameters ζ_k and ξ_1 are in C^1 while $\{\xi_l \mid l = 2, \ldots, m\} \in C^1 \setminus 0$. We cannot arbitrarily switch off the links of the chain in $r_{exch}(\xi,\zeta)$. This can be done successively from the first to the m-th or in all the chain simultaneously. Putting all ξ_k 's equal zero we get the set of purely Jordanian terms where the second summands in $\widehat{E}_k(\xi)$ have indeterminate coefficients. Obviously the additional Jordanians can be switched off in any order. When all the ζ_k 's are zeros the full chain is restored with the special choice (17) of the Cartan's $\widehat{H}_l$.

5 Conclusions

We have proved that the full chain r-matrices for $sl(2m+1)$ can be enlarged by the sum of independent Jordanian terms. The number of such terms can be equal to $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) - m$ so that the carrier $\mathfrak{g}_{ech}$ of the enlarged chain r_{ech} contains the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_+(\mathfrak{g})$ and m commuting negative simple root generators $\left\{e_{(-\alpha_{2k-1})} \mid k = 1, \ldots, m\right\}$.

Similar result can be obtained for $sl(2m)$ algebras. Here the general structure of the enlarged chain is the same

$$r_{ech} = r_{rch} + r_J = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left(\widehat{H}_l \wedge E_{l,n-l+1} + \sum_{p=l+1}^{n-l} E_{l,p} \wedge E_{p,n-l+1} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} H_k^{\perp} \wedge \widehat{E}_k.$$

The difference (with the odd case considered above) is that here the dimension of the space $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ is $2m-1-f = m-1$. This provides additional freedom in

choosing the set $S_{ch}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{g})$ of orthogonal simple roots. As far as for even n the last link of the full chain is degenerate (contains only the Jordanian term) there are no such coordinates as $\widehat{E}_p$ with $e_{-\alpha_q}^{\#} = e_{-\alpha_q}^{*}$. All this leads to different sets of $\left\{H_k^{\perp}\right\}$ and $\left\{\widehat{H}_l\right\}$. Nevertheless the main property is valid: the enlarged chain r_{ech} exists in the form $r_{rch} + r_J$, its carrier contains $\mathfrak{b}_+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $m-1$ commuting negative simple root generators.

As it is explained in the Appendix A among the classical Lie algebras there exists only one more set of the type I – the even-odd subset of orthogonal algebras $D_{t=2s+1}$. Using the same tools as in Section 3 one can check that in this case the enlarged chains also exists. The space $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ is always one-dimensional and as a result the additional Jordanian part r_J contains only one term.

We come to the conclusion that for any simple Lie algebra there always exists a chain of extended Jordanian r-matrices whose carrier contains $\mathfrak{b}_+(\mathfrak{g})$. For algebras $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^{II}\right)$ these are the full chains r_{fch} , for algebras $\mathfrak{g}\left(\Lambda^{II}\right)$ – the enlarged chains r_{ech}.

For algebras of series A_{n-1} the set of parabolic r-matrices $b_C G(n)$ was constructed in [11]. The sets $b_{CG}(n)$ and $r_{ech}(n)$ intersects at the point $n = 3$: the enlarged chain carrier $\mathfrak{g}_{ech}$ is the parabolic subalgebra when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$.

The next problem is to quantize explicitly the r-matrices r_{ech} or to construct the solutions $\mathcal{F}_{ech}$ of the twist equations [1] corresponding to r_{ech}. It is obvious that such twist as $\mathcal{F}_{ech}$ will contain the full chain twist $\mathcal{F}_{fch}$ as a factor, $\mathcal{F}_{ech} = \mathcal{F}_J \mathcal{F}_{rch}$, thus it is sufficient to find the factor $\mathcal{F}_J$. It must be taken into account that the form of $\mathcal{F}_J$ might differ from the canonical exponent $e^{H \otimes E}$. It still can be deformed by the chain factor $\mathcal{F}_{rch}$. The results obtained above show that in the first order (with respect to the overall deformation parameter) such deformation can be eliminated, the appropriate coordinate transformation always exists. A separate study will be devoted to the construction of $\mathcal{F}_{ech}$ and related topics.

6 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research under the grant N 03-01-00593.

7 Appendix A. The sequence $V_0 \supset V_1 \supset \ldots \supset V_{f-1}$ for simple Lie algebras

- Series A: for $sl(n)$ (A_{n-1}) the roots are

$$e_i - e_j \qquad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

Let $e_1 - e_n$ be the highest root. The subsystems are

$$\Lambda_k = \{e_i - e_j \mid i, j = (k+1), \ldots, (n-k);\}$$

There is a difference between even and odd cases, $n = 2m$ and $n = 2m+1$. The maximal length of the sequence of $\{\theta_s\}$ is $f = m$. The dimension $\dim V_{f-1}$ is

$$\dim V_{f-1} = r - m = \begin{cases} m-1 & \text{for} \quad n = 2m \\ m & \text{for} \quad n = 2m+1 \end{cases}$$

- Series B: for $so(2m+1)$ (B_p) the roots are

$$\{\pm e_i, \pm e_i \pm e_j \mid i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m; \}$$

The highest root is $e_1 - e_p$. In the subspace V_1 we shall find the roots

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \pm e_i, \pm e_i \pm e_j \mid i, j = 2, \ldots, m-1; \\ e_1 + e_m, \qquad -e_1 - e_m. \end{array} \right\}$$

and $\dim V_1 = m - 1$. The maximal length is m and is equal to the rank. Algebras B_m are of the type II.

- Series C: for $sp(m)$ (C_m) the roots are

$$\{\pm 2e_i, \pm e_i \pm e_j \mid i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m; \}$$

The highest root is $2e_1$ and the sequence

$$\theta_0 = 2e_1, \theta_1 = 2e_2, \ldots, \theta_{p-1} = 2e_m$$

contains m elements and forms the basis of the root space V_0. Algebras C_m are of the type II.

- Series D: for $so(2m)$ (D_m) the roots are

$$\{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m; \}$$

Here the properties depend on m . For m even D_m is of type II. For m odd it is of type I. The latter is obvious due to the isomorphism $so(6) \approx sl(4)$. The situation with even-odd so-algebra differs from the A-series case. Here the dimension of V_m is always one: $\dim V_m = 1$.

8 Appendix B.

Here we present the construction of the enlarged full chain for the typical case of $\mathfrak{g} = sl(11)$.

The full rotated chain r-matrix for $sl(11)$ is

$$r_{rch}(\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^{5} \left(\widehat{H}_k(\gamma) \wedge E_{k,12-l} + \sum_{s=k+1}^{11-k} E_{k,s} \wedge E_{s,12-k} \right).$$

The rotation freedom is enclosed in the generators $\widehat{H}_k(\gamma) = H_{k,n-k+1} + \sum \gamma_l H_l^{\perp}$. The conditions (9) define the Cartan elements

$$H_k^{\perp} = \sum_{v=1}^{11} \frac{4k-2}{11} E_{v,v} - \sum_{u=1}^{2k-1} \left(E_{u,u} + E_{n-u+1,n-u+1}\right),$$

This set corresponds to the coordinates $\left\{\widehat{E}_k\right\}$ in which the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}_c^{\#}$ is reducible,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \widehat{E}_1 = E_{2,1} + E_{10,11}, & \widehat{E}_4 = E_{8,7} + E_{4,5}, \\ \widehat{E}_2 = E_{4,3} + E_{8,9}, & \widehat{E}_5 = E_{10,9} + E_{2,3}. \\ \widehat{E}_3 = E_{6,5}, & \end{array}$$

The pairs $\left\{H_k^{\perp}, \widehat{E}_k\right\}$ fix the Jordanian r-matrix

$$r_J = \sum_{k=1}^{5} H_k^{\perp} \wedge \widehat{E}_k.$$

Imposing the CYBE on the sum $r_{rch}(\gamma) + r_J$,

$$[[r_{rch}(\gamma) + r_J]] = 0.$$

we get the necessary and sufficient conditions in the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{5} \left[\widehat{H}_k(\gamma), E_{2l,2l-1}\right] = 0; \qquad l = 1, \ldots, 5;$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left[\widehat{H}_1(\gamma), E_{10,11}\right] = E_{10,11}, & \left[\widehat{H}_2(\gamma), E_{10,11}\right] = -E_{10,11}, \\ \left[\widehat{H}_2(\gamma), E_{2,3}\right] = E_{2,3}, & \left[\widehat{H}_3(\gamma), E_{2,3}\right] = -E_{2,3}, \\ \left[\widehat{H}_3(\gamma), E_{8,9}\right] = E_{8,9}, & \left[\widehat{H}_4(\gamma), E_{8,9}\right] = -E_{8,9}, \\ \left[\widehat{H}_4(\gamma), E_{4,5}\right] = E_{4,5}, & \left[\widehat{H}_5(\gamma), E_{4,5}\right] = -E_{4,5}; \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left(\widehat{H}_1(\gamma) - \widehat{H}_2(\gamma) - 2H_{1,2}\right) & \sim & H_1^{\perp}, \left(\widehat{H}_2(\gamma) - \widehat{H}_3(\gamma) - 2H_{9,10}\right) \sim H_5^{\perp}, \\ \left(\widehat{H}_3(\gamma) - \widehat{H}_4(\gamma) - 2H_{3,4}\right) & \sim & H_2^{\perp}, \left(\widehat{H}_4(\gamma) - \widehat{H}_5(\gamma) - 2H_{7,8}\right) \sim H_4^{\perp}, \\ \left(\widehat{H}_5(\gamma) - 2H_{5,6}\right) & \sim & H_3^{\perp}; \end{array}$$

These relations define the unique solution:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \widehat{H}_1 = 2\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(H_{2i-1,2i} + H_i^{\perp}\right), & \widehat{H}_4 = 2\sum_{i=3}^{4}\left(H_{2i-1,2i} + H_i^{\perp}\right), \\ \widehat{H}_2 = 2\sum_{i=2}^{5}\left(H_{2i-1,2i} + H_i^{\perp}\right), & \widehat{H}_5 = 2\left(H_{5,6} + H_3^{\perp}\right). \\ \widehat{H}_3 = 2\sum_{i=2}^{4}\left(H_{2i-1,2i} + H_i^{\perp}\right), & \end{array}$$

Thus the enlarged chain for $sl(11)$ is found to be

$$r_{ech} = \sum_{k=1}^{5} \left(\widehat{H}_k \wedge E_{k,12-l} + \sum_{s=k+1}^{11-k} E_{k,s} \wedge E_{s,12-k} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{5} H_k^{\perp} \wedge \widehat{E}_k.$$

This expression is a representative element of the 10-dimensional variety of solutions

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ech} &= \sum_{k=1}^{5} \xi_k \left(\widehat{H}_k \wedge E_{k,12-l} + \sum_{s=k+1}^{11-k} E_{k,s} \wedge E_{s,12-k} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{5} \zeta_k H_k^{\perp} \wedge \widehat{E}_k(\xi), \\ \xi_{2,\ldots,5} &\in \mathsf{C}^1 \setminus 0, \xi_1, \zeta_k \in \mathsf{C}^1. \end{aligned}$$

The coordinates $\widehat{E}_k(\xi)$ are parameterized as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \widehat{E}_1(\xi) = E_{2,1} + \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} E_{10,11}, & \widehat{E}_4(\xi) = E_{8,7} + \frac{\xi_4}{\xi_5} E_{4,5}, \\ \widehat{E}_2(\xi) = E_{4,3} + \frac{\xi_3}{\xi_4} E_{8,9}, & \widehat{E}_5(\xi) = E_{10,9} + \frac{\xi_2}{\xi_3} E_{2,3}, \\ \widehat{E}_3(\xi) = E_{6,5}. & \end{array}$$

****

References

[1] V.G. Drinfeld , Dokl. Acad. Nauk **273** (1983) 531.

[2] A.N. Kirillov , N.Yu. Reshetikhin, Commun. Math. Phys. **134** (1990) 421-431.

[3] P. Etingof, O. Schiffman, T. Schedler, JAMS **134** (2000) 595-609.

[4] Alekseev A., Lachowska A., "Invariant *-product on coadjoint orbits and the Shapovalov pairity", 12p., math.QA/0308100.

[5] Elashvili A., Funct. Anal. Appl. **16** (1982) 94-95.

[6] P. P. Kulish, V. D. Lyakhovsky, M. A. del Olmo, Journ. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999) 8671, (math.QA/9908061).

[7] D.N. Ananikian, P. P. Kulish, V. D. Lyakhovsky, Algebra and Analysis **14**(3) (2002) 27-54.

[8] V. D. Lyakhovsky, "Twist deformations in dual coordinates", 17p., math.QA/0312185

[9] L.C. Kwek, V. D. Lyakhovsky, Czech. Journ. Phys. **51** (2001) 1374-1379.

[10] V. D. Lyakhovsky, M.E. Samsonov, Journal of Algebra and its Applications, **1**, (2002) 413-424.

[11] M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto, Lett. Math. Phys. **40**(4) (1997) 337-353.